## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, | )           |             |
|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Plaintiff,                | )<br>)<br>) | 8:07CR255   |
| vs.                       | í           | 0.01.01.=00 |
|                           | ý           | ORDER       |
| BERNARDINO VALDIVIEZO-    | )           |             |
| RODRIGUEZ,                | )           |             |
|                           | )           |             |
| Defendant.                |             |             |

This matter is before the court on defendant's unopposed MOTION TO CONTINUE PRETRIAL MOTIONS [19]. For good cause shown, I find that the motion should be granted. The defendant will be given the requested approximate 10-day extension. Pretrial Motions shall be filed by August 23, 2007.

## IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. Defendant's MOTIONTO CONTINUE PRETRIAL MOTIONS [19] is granted. Pretrial motions shall be filed on or before **August 23, 2007.** 
  - 2. Defendant is ordered to file a waiver of speedy trial as soon as practicable.
- 3. The ends of justice have been served by granting such motion and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The additional time arising as a result of the granting of the motion, i.e., **the time between August 13, 2007 and August 23, 2007**, shall be deemed excludable time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act for the reason defendant's counsel required additional time to adequately prepare the case, taking into consideration due diligence of counsel, and the novelty and complexity of this case. The failure to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B).

DATED this 10<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2007.

BY THE COURT:

s/ F.A. Gossett United States Magistrate Judge